Tetrahedron Letters No. 29, pp. 2491-2496, 1965. Pergamon Press Ltd. Printed in Great Britain.

## SECONDARY ISOTOPE EFFECTS IN THE SOLVOIASIS OF exo-NORBORNYL BROMIDE

John P. Schaefer and David S. Weinberg<sup>\*</sup> Department of Chemistry University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona

(Received 27 April 1965; revised 29 May 1965)

Secondary isotope effects have provided a subtle but powerful tool for diagnosing intimate details of solvolysis reactions (1); we wish to report the results of an application of this technique to the solvolysis of exo-norbornyl bromide. Optically active exo-norbornanol  $(2)$  was oridized to norcamphor  $(3)$  and reduced to the endo alcohols with lithium aluminum hydride (4). Reaction of this alcohol with triphenylphosphine and bromide (5) gave optically active exo-norbornyl bromide (1). Repetition of these experiments with optically active norcamphor-3,3-d<sub>o</sub> produced optically active exc-norbornyl bromide-3,3-d<sub>o</sub> (2). All of the above reactions were shown to proceed without any detectable racemization. The solvolysis rates of the bromides were measured polarimetrically  $(k_{\mu})$  and titrametrically  $(k_{\mu})$  (using a Modified Volhard Procedure) in acetic acid (4 M in water, 0.6 M in sodium acetate,  $51.25^{\circ}$ ) and our results

2491

Abstracted from the Ph.D. thesis of David S. Weinberg, University of Arizona, 1964. National Science Foundation Cooperative Fellow, 1960-62; Phillips Petroleum Fellow, 1962-63.

are summarised in Table 1. Rate constants were calculated using a least squares procedure and the deviations reported indicate the range of values observed for several runs. Several features of these data are significant.

## Table 1

Solvolysis of exo-Norbornyl Bromides



The solvolysis of 11-chloro-11-methyl-9,10-dihydro-9,10-ethanoanthracene-12,12-d<sub>o</sub> (3) is limiting and since the stereochemical relationship of the halogen and adjacent methylene groups is almost identical to that of  $C_0$  and  $C_2$  in the norbornyl skeleton, this compound can serve as a useful model for comparison. Before a quantitative assessment of our data can be attempted, however, it is necessary to evaluate the effects of comparing  $(1)$  a limiting solvolysis in a secondary and tertiary system,  $(2)$ chloride versus bromide as a leaving group and (3) solvolysis in acetic. acid (4 M in water) versus 60% ethanol-water.

From the extensive data in the literature (1) it is now apparent that once geometrical factors have been allowed for, the magnitude of a secondary isotope effect in a solvolysis reaction is a function of the electron deficiency at the reacting carbon atom in the transition state.

**f%t.nce** there are more **posslbilitles for charge delocalisation in**  tertiary **carbicylsystems than incanparable secondarycarbinyl systems,**  a greater secondary isotope effect (per **D**) would be expected from a limiting solvolysis **in the latter system.** 

**The nmgnittie of a secondary isotope** effect is **also a sensitive function of the nature of the leaving group (exiphile)\* and increases as**  the exiphilicity of the group increases in non-limiting solvolysis. On this basis, the secondary isotope effect observed during the solvolysis of a bromide should always be slightly greater than that of an analogous chloride, but this difference is probably negligible for limiting solvolysis.

Lastly, secondary isotope effects observed in limiting solvolysis are insensitive to wide variations In electrophilic and nucleophilic properties of solvents at constant **Y** values (6). Although no comparable studies **concerning the effect of varying Y values have been published, fragmentary**  data indicate that variation of Y over a range of 2-3 log units causes less than 0.5% variation if  $k_{H}/k_{n}$  (per D) (6,7). On these grounds we have concluded that the differences in solvent used in the present study and in the model system which we have chosen (8) will not seriously effect our interpretation.

When the solvolysis rates of 1 and 2 were measured polarimetrically, a secondary isotope effect  $(k_{\overline{H}}/k_{\overline{H}})_{\alpha}$  of 1.087 was found. This value is about  $40\frac{2}{3}$  less than the value which is predicted by our model  $(1.14)$   $(8)$   $(3)$  and **provides further evidence that the transition state for the solvolysis of**   $\overline{\text{exo}-\text{norborny}}$ l derivatives is stabilized by delocalization of the  $C_1-C_6$  sigma **bond** (9). **Since this conclusion requires that in the transition state for**  solvolysis  $(4)$  the electron deficiency on carbon is borne by both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ 

2193

We propose that the word exiphile be used to denote a leaving group.<br>Extensions to exiphilicity (e.g. leaving tendancy) are obvious, descriptive **and concise.** 



it became apparent that a study of the solvolysis of exo-norbornyl bromide-7,7-d<sub>2</sub> (5) would be profitable and reveal considerable additional detail about the geometry of the transition state.

Internal return in the norbornyl system results in the interchange



of the  $C_3$  and  $C_7$  methylene groups (10) and if solvolysis conditions are chosen such that internal return is significant enough to allow equilibration of  $\frac{2}{3}$ and  $5$  a comparison of the secondary isotope effects measured polarimetrically for 2 can provide an estimate of the value of  $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\alpha}$  for 5 (11). Although the experimental uncertainty in the value of  $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\rm t}$  is about twice as large as for  $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\alpha}$ , it is apparent that  $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})_{\alpha}$  for  $\zeta$  must be very small and probably approaches 1.00.

For a resonance form such as  $6$  to be important, carbon atoms 1, 2, 4, and 7 mwt. be nearly coplanar. Since this would require a considerable molecular reorganization as progress is made from the starting material to



the transition state for solvolysis, the small value of  $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm B})_{\alpha}$  for 5 indicates that the geometry of the nuclei of the **norbornyl** framework has not changed significantly In the transition state.

An alternative explanation for the absence of a secondary isotope effect in the solvolysis of 5 which should also be considered is that there is no electron deficiency at  $C_1$  in the transition state; several experimental facts argue against this interpretation. Substituents attached to  $C_1$  will be restricted in their electronic interactions with the transition state by similar geometrical requirements for resonance as those discussed above for the  $c_{7}^{}$  methylene group and therefore, substituent effects at  $c_{1}^{}$ should follow primarily an inductive order rather than a resonance-inductive order. Schleyer (13) has reported that the relative rate promoting factors of 1-methyl, 1-ethyl, and 1-phenyl substituents are 50, 82, and 3.9 respectively for the solvolysis **of** exo-norbornyl **tosylates** which is consistent with these expectations. These data, coupled with our own findings, provide strong supporting evidence that the formation of the transition state in the solvolysis of exo-norbornyl derivatives is anchimerically assisted  $(9,10)$  but that the geometry of the carbon skeleton is approximately that of the starting material.

Acknowledgement.--The authors wish to acknowledge a generous grant from the California Research Corporation which was used to support this work.

## **References**

- 1. E.A. Helevi, "Progress in Phyaicel Organic Chemistry", Vol. 1, Interscience Publishers, New York, N.Y., 1963, p. 109.
- 2. H.C. Brown and G. Zweifel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 486 (1961).
- 3. D.C. Kleinfelter and P. von R. Schleyer, J. Org. Chem.,  $26$ , 3740 (1961).
- 4. C.F. Wilcox, M. Sexton, and M.F. Wilcox, J. Org. Chem.,  $\underline{28}$ , 1079 (1963).
- 5. G.A. Wiley, R.L. Hershkowitz, B.M. Rein, ed B.C. Chung, J. Am. Chem.  $\sec.$ ,  $\frac{86}{964}$  (1964).
- 6. G.J. Frisone and E.R. Thornton, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,  $\underline{86}$ , 1900 (1964).
- 7. A.H. Fainberg and S. Winstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,  $\underline{78}$ , 2770 (1956).
- $8.$  V.J. Shiner, Jr. and J.S. Humphrey, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,  $\underline{85}$ , 2416 (1963).
- 9. S. WInsteIn, E. Clippinger, R. Howe, and E. Vogelfanger, J. Am. Chem. soc.,  $87$ , 376 (1965) and references cited therein.
- 10. S. Winstein and D. Trifan, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,  $\underline{74}$ , 1154 (1952).
- ll. The possibility exists that further scrembling can occur via 1,3-hydride shifts. However, Roberts<sup>12</sup> has found that in the solvolysis reaction this occurs only to the extent of 45% for the overall reaction and it seems likely that it will occur to a much lesser extent in the intimate ion pair.
- 12. J.D. Roberts, C.C. Lee, and W.H. Saunders, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>76</u> 4501 (1954).
- 13. P. von R. Schleyer, M.M. Donaldson, and W.E. Watts, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 375 (1965). P. von R. Schleyer and D.C. Kleinfelter, Abstracts of Papers, 138th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, New York, N.Y., Sept. 1960, p. 43.